## CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

ORDER NO. 95-222

REVISING SITE CLEANUP REQUIREMENTS FOR:

ADVALLOY, INC. and EAST CHARLESTON, INC.

for the property located at

844 EAST CHARLESTON ROAD PALO ALTO SANTA CLARA COUNTY

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (hereinafter the Board), finds that:

- 1. **Site Location**: The site is located at 844 East Charleston Road in Palo Alto. The site is bounded by Charleston Road to the north, Fabian Way to the south, and existing structures to the east and west. Areas surrounding the building are paved. Adjacent properties are developed for commercial and light industrial use.
- 2. **Site History**: The one building occupying the site was constructed in 1958. Advalloy leased the site in 1968 and purchased the property in 1971. Previous activities involved precision metal stamping for the semi-conductor industry. These activities required the use of chemical such as degreasers, paint thinners, acids, and detergents; and generated a variety of hazardous wastes. As a result of groundwater investigations by a downgradient site in 1987, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were detected in soil and shallow groundwater at the site.
- 3. **Named Dischargers**: Advalloy, as the previous owner and operator of the site, is named as a discharger. There is evidence that Advalloy used and released VOCs. East Charleston, Inc., the current owner of the site, is also named as a discharger. If additional information is submitted indicating that other parties caused or permitted any waste to be discharged on the site where it entered or could have entered waters of the State, the Board will consider adding that party's name to this Order.
- 4. **Site Hydrogeology**: The land surface is relatively flat, sloping gently north-northeast toward San Francisco Bay. The site is located on a series of overlapping alluvial fans deposited by east-flowing streams descending from the Santa Cruz Mountains. The regional groundwater gradient is northeast towards San Francisco Bay. A shallow water bearing zone exists

approximately ten feet below ground surface. The location and character of the deeper water bearing zones beneath the site are poorly understood.

5. **Remedial Investigation**: The principal VOC at the site is trichloroethylene (TCE) (up to 7,000 ppb in soil and 7,600 ppb in groundwater). Dichloroethylene (DCE) has also been detected onsite (up to 630 ppb in soil and 3,800 ppb in groundwater). Other VOCs detected at the site include 1,1,1-trichloroethane, dichloroethane, Freon 113, and methylene chloride.

Ten monitoring wells have been installed but the lateral and vertical extent of contamination has not been defined. This Order will require tasks to complete soil and groundwater investigations at the site.

- 6. Adjacent Sites: Several commercial and light industrial sites are located downgradient of the Advalloy site. These include, but may not be limited to, the Kentucky Fried Chicken (former Chevron service station) located northeast and downgradient at San Antonio Road and East Charleston Road, Sun Microsystems (part of the former Ford Aerospace Facility) located to the north and downgradient at 901 San Antonio Road, and Space Systems/Loral (part of the former Ford Aerospace Facility) located to the north and downgradient at 3825 Fabian Way. Sun Microsystems and Space Systems/Loral is regulated by Regional Board Order 93-091. Groundwater monitoring data collected and analyzed from wells at the Sun Microsystems facility (downgradient) indicate that VOCs from the Advalloy site are impacting the former Ford Aerospace Facility.
- 7. **Regulatory Status**: The Board adopted Order No. 90-016 (Site Cleanup Requirements) on January 17, 1990. The 1990 SCR named only Advalloy as a discharger. It required Advalloy to define the lateral and vertical extent of soil and groundwater contamination, propose and implement interim remedial actions, and propose final cleanup objectives and actions. Advalloy declared bankruptcy shortly after the Order was adopted and no tasks have been completed. The bankruptcy case was dismissed in 1994 and the property was sold to East Charleston, Inc. This Order is necessary to resume work on the site and to prevent further VOC migration.
- 8. **Basin Plan**: The Board adopted a revised Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin (Basin Plan) on December 17, 1986, and the State Board approved it on May 21, 1987. The Board has amended the Basin Plan several times since then. The Basin Plan defines beneficial uses and water quality objectives for waters of the State, including surface waters and groundwaters.

The potential beneficial uses of groundwater underlying and adjacent to the site include:

- a. Municipal and domestic water supply
- b. Industrial process water supply
- c. Industrial service water supply
- d. Agricultural water supply

At present, there is no known use of groundwater underlying the site for the above purposes.

- Other Board Policies: Board Resolution No. 88-160 strongly encourages dischargers of extracted, treated groundwater from site cleanups to reuse it or discharge it to the sanitary sewer.
  - Board Resolution No. 89-39, "Sources of Drinking Water," defines potential sources of drinking water to include all groundwater in the region, with limited exceptions for areas of high TDS, low yield, or naturally-high contaminant levels.
- 10. State Water Board Policies: State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16, "Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California," applies to this discharge and requires attainment of background levels of water quality, or the highest level of water quality which is reasonable if background levels of water quality cannot be restored. Non-background cleanup levels must be consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State, not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial uses of such water, and not result in exceedence of applicable water quality objectives.

State Water Board Resolution No. 92-49, "Policies and Procedures for Investigation and Cleanup and Abatement of Discharges Under Water Code Section 13304," applies to this discharge. This order and its requirements are consistent with the provisions of Resolution No. 92-49, as amended.

- 11. **Preliminary Cleanup Goals**: The dischargers will need to make assumptions about future cleanup standards for soil and groundwater, in order to determine the necessary extent of remedial investigation, interim remedial actions, and the draft cleanup plan. Pending the establishment of site-specific cleanup standards, the following preliminary cleanup goals should be used for these purposes:
  - a. Groundwater: Applicable water quality objectives (e.g. maximum contaminant levels, or MCLs) or, in the absence of a chemical-specific objective, risk-based levels (e.g. drinking water equivalent levels).
  - b. Soil: 1 mg/kg total volatile organic compounds (VOCs), 10 mg/kg total semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), and background concentrations of metals.
- 12. **Basis for 13304 Order**: The dischargers have caused or permitted waste to be discharged or deposited where it is or probably will be discharged into waters of the State and creates or threatens to create a condition of pollution or nuisance.
- 13. Cost Recovery: Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13304, the dischargers are hereby notified that the Board is entitled to, and may seek reimbursement for, all reasonable costs actually incurred by the Board to investigate unauthorized discharges of waste and to oversee cleanup of such waste, abatement of the effects thereof, or other remedial action,

required by this order.

- 14. **CEQA**: This action is an order to enforce the laws and regulations administered by the Board. As such, this action is categorically exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15321 of the Resources Agency Guidelines.
- 15. **Notification**: The Board has notified the dischargers and all interested agencies and persons of its intent under California Water Code Section 13304 to prescribe site cleanup requirements for the discharge, and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments.
- 16. **Public Hearing**: The Board, at a public meeting, heard and considered all comments pertaining to this discharge.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to Section 13304 of the California Water Code, that the discharger (or its agents, successors, or assigns) shall cleanup and abate the effects described in the above findings as follows:

#### A. PROHIBITIONS

- 1. The discharge of wastes or hazardous substances in a manner which will degrade water quality or adversely affect beneficial uses of waters of the State is prohibited.
- 2. Further significant migration of wastes or hazardous substances through subsurface transport to waters of the State is prohibited.
- 3. Activities associated with the subsurface investigation and cleanup which will cause significant adverse migration of wastes or hazardous substances are prohibited.

## B. TASKS

## 1. REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WORKPLAN

COMPLIANCE DATE: January 15, 1996

Submit a workplan acceptable to the Executive Officer to define the vertical and lateral extent of soil and groundwater pollution. The workplan should specify investigation methods and a proposed time schedule. Work may be phased to allow the investigation to proceed efficiently.

## 2. COMPLETION OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION

COMPLIANCE DATE: November 1, 1996

Submit a technical report acceptable to the Executive Officer documenting completion of necessary tasks identified in the Task 1 workplan. The technical report should define the vertical and lateral extent of pollution down to concentrations at or below typical cleanup standards for soil and groundwater.

## 3. INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION WORKPLAN

COMPLIANCE DATE: November 1, 1996

Submit a workplan acceptable to the Executive Officer evaluating interim remedial action alternatives and recommending one or more alternatives for implementation. The workplan should specify a proposed time schedule. Work may be phased to allow the investigation to proceed efficiently. If groundwater extraction is selected as an interim remedial action, then one task will be the completion of an NPDES permit application for discharge of extracted, treated groundwater to waters of the State.

## 4. COMPLETION OF INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTIONS

COMPLIANCE DATE: July 1, 1997

Submit a technical report acceptable to the Executive Officer documenting completion of necessary tasks identified in the Task 3 workplan. For ongoing actions, such as soil vapor extraction or groundwater extraction, the report should document start-up as opposed to completion.

### 5. PROPOSED FINAL REMEDIAL ACTIONS AND CLEANUP STANDARDS

COMPLIANCE DATE: July 1, 1998

Submit a technical report acceptable to the Executive Officer containing:

- a. Results of the remedial investigation
- b. Evaluation of the installed interim remedial actions
- c. Feasibility study evaluating alternative final remedial actions
- d. Risk assessment for current and post-cleanup exposures
- e. Recommended final remedial actions and cleanup standards
- f. Implementation tasks and time schedule

Items b and c should include projections of cost, effectiveness, benefits, and impact on public health, welfare, and the environment of each alternative action.

Items a through c should be consistent with the guidance provided by Subpart F of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (40 CFR Part 300), CERCLA guidance documents with respect to remedial investigations and feasibility studies, Health and Safety Code Section 25356.1(c), and State Board Resolution No. 92-49 as amended ("Policies and Procedures for Investigation and Cleanup and Abatement of Discharges Under Water Code Section 13304").

Items a through e should consider the preliminary cleanup goals for soil and groundwater identified in finding 11.

4. **Delayed Compliance**: If the discharger is delayed, interrupted, or prevented from meting one or more of the completion dates specified for the above tasks, the discharger shall promptly notify the Executive Officer and the Board may consider revision to this Order.

### C. PROVISIONS

- 1. **No Nuisance**: The storage, handling, treatment, or disposal of polluted soil or groundwater shall not create a nuisance as defined in California Water Code Section 13050(m).
- 2. **Good O&M**: The discharger shall maintain in good working order and operate as efficiently as possible any facility or control system installed to achieve compliance with the requirements of this Order.
- 3. Cost Recovery: The discharger shall be liable, pursuant to California Water Code Section 13304, to the Board for all reasonable costs actually incurred by the Board to investigate unauthorized discharges of waste and to oversee cleanup of such waste, abatement of the effects thereof, or other remedial action, required by this Order. If the site addressed by this Order is enrolled in a State Board-managed reimbursement program, reimbursement shall be made pursuant to this Order and according to the procedures established in that program. Any disputes raised by the discharger over reimbursement amounts or methods used in that program shall be consistent with the dispute resolution procedures for that program.
- 4. Access to Site and Records: In accordance with California Water Code Section 13267(c), the discharger shall permit the Board or its authorized representative:
  - a. Entry upon premises in which any pollution source exists, or may potentially exist, or in which any required records are kept, which are relevant to this Order.

- b. Access to copy any records required to be kept under the requirements of this Order.
- c. Inspection of any monitoring or remediation facilities installed in response to this Order.
- d. Sampling of any groundwater or soil which is accessible, or may become accessible, as part of any investigation or remedial action program undertaken by the discharger.
- 5. **Self-Monitoring Program**: The discharger shall comply with the Self-Monitoring Program as attached to this Order and as may be amended by the Executive Officer.
- 6. **Contractor/ Consultant Qualifications**: All hydrogeologic documents (plans, specifications, and reports) shall be signed by and stamped with the seal of a California registered geologist, a California certified engineering geologist, or a California registered civil engineer.
- 7. Lab Qualifications: All samples shall be analyzed by State-certified laboratories or laboratories accepted by the Board using approved EPA methods for the type of analysis to be performed. All laboratories shall maintain quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) records for Board review. This provision does not apply to analyses that can only reasonably be performed on-site (e.g. temperature).
- 8. **Document Distribution**: Copies of all correspondence, technical reports, and other documents pertaining to compliance with this Order shall be provided to the following agencies:
  - a. City of Palo Alto
  - b. Santa Clara County Health Department
  - c. Santa Clara Valley Water District
- 9. Reporting of Changed Owner or Operator: The discharger shall file a technical report on any changes in site occupancy or ownership associated with the property described in this Order.
- 10. **Reporting of Hazardous Substance Release**: If any hazardous substance is discharged in or on any waters of the State, or discharged or deposited where it is, or probably will be, discharged in or on any waters of the State, the discharger shall report such discharge to the Regional Board by calling (510) 286-1255 during regular office hours (Monday through Friday, 8:00 to 5:00).

A written report shall be filed with the Board within five working days. The report shall describe: the nature of the hazardous substance, estimated quantity involved,

duration of incident, cause of release, estimated size of affected area, nature of effect, corrective actions taken or planned, schedule of corrective actions planned, and persons/agencies notified.

This reporting is in addition to reporting to the Office of Emergency Services required pursuant to the Health and Safety Code.

- 11. Rescission of Existing Order: This Order rescinds Order No. 90-016.
- 12. **Periodic SCR Review**: The Board will review this Order periodically and may revise it when necessary. The discharger may request revisions and upon review the Executive Officer may recommend that the Board revise these requirements.

I, Loretta K. Barsamian, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, November 15, 1995.

Loretta K. Barsamian Executive Officer

FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS ORDER MAY SUBJECT YOU TO ENFORCEMENT ACTION, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: IMPOSITION OF ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY UNDER WATER CODE SECTIONS 13267 OR 13350, OR REFERRAL TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF OR CIVIL OR CRIMINAL LIABILITY

Attachments:

Site Map

Self-Monitoring Program

# CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

SELF-MONITORING PROGRAM FOR:

ADVALLOY, INC. and EAST CHARLESTON, INC.

for the property located at

## 844 EAST CHARLESTON ROAD PALO ALTO SANTA CLARA COUNTY

- 1. **Authority and Purpose**: The Board requests the technical reports required in this Self-Monitoring Program pursuant to Water Code Sections 13267 and 13304. This Self-Monitoring Program is intended to document compliance with Board Order No. 95-222 (site cleanup requirements).
- 2. **Monitoring**: The discharger shall measure groundwater elevations quarterly in all monitoring wells, and shall collect and analyze representative samples of groundwater according to the following schedule:

Well #	Sampling Frequency	Analyses	Well #	Sampling Frequency	Analyses
MW1	Q	8010	MW6	Q	8010
MW2	Q	8010	MW7	Q	8010
MW3	Q	8010	MW8	Q	8010
MW4	Q	8010	MW1B	Q	8010
MW5	Q	8010	MW2B	Q	8010

Key:

O = Quarterly

8010 = EPA Method 8010 or equivalent

The discharger shall sample any new monitoring or extraction wells quarterly and analyze groundwater samples for the same constituents as shown in the above table. The discharger may propose changes in the above table; any proposed changes are subject to Executive Officer approval.

- 3. Quarterly Monitoring Reports: The discharger shall submit quarterly monitoring reports to the Board no later than 30 days following the end of the quarter (e.g. first quarter report due April 30). The first quarterly monitoring report shall be due on April 30, 1995. The reports shall include:
  - a. Transmittal Letter: The transmittal letter shall discuss any violations during the reporting period and actions taken or planned to correct the problem. The letter shall be signed by the discharger's principal executive officer or his/her duly authorized representative, and shall include a statement by the official, under penalty of perjury, that the report is true and correct to the best of the official's knowledge.
  - b. Groundwater Elevations: Groundwater elevation data shall be presented in tabular form, and a groundwater elevation map should be prepared for each monitored water-bearing zone. Historical groundwater elevations shall be included in the fourth quarterly report each year.
  - c. Groundwater Analyses: Groundwater sampling data shall be presented in tabular form, and an isoconcentration map should be prepared for one or more key contaminants for each monitored water-bearing zone, as appropriate. The report shall indicate the analytical method used and detection limits obtained for each reported constituent. Historical groundwater sampling results shall be included in the fourth quarterly report each year. The report shall describe any significant increases in contaminant concentrations since the last report, and any measures proposed to address the increases. Supporting data, such as lab data sheets, need not be included (however, see record keeping below).
  - d. Groundwater Extraction: If applicable, the report shall include groundwater extraction results in tabular form, for each extraction well and for the site as a whole, expressed in gallons per minute and total groundwater volume for the quarter. The report shall also include contaminant removal results, from groundwater extraction wells and from other remediation systems (e.g. soil vapor extraction), expressed in units of chemical mass per day and mass for the quarter. Historical mass removal results shall be included in the fourth quarterly report each year.
  - e. Status Report: The quarterly report shall describe relevant work completed during the reporting period (e.g. site investigation, interim remedial measures) and work planned for the following quarter.
- 4. **Violation Reports**: If the discharger violates requirements in the Site Cleanup Requirements, then the discharger shall notify the Board office by telephone as soon as practicable once the discharger has knowledge of the violation. Board staff may, depending on violation severity, require the discharger to submit a separate technical report on the violation within five working days of telephone notification.

- 5. Other Reports: The discharger shall notify the Board prior to any site activities, such as construction or underground tank removal, which have the potential to cause further migration of contaminants or which would provide new opportunities for site investigation.
- 6. **Record Keeping**: The discharger or his/her agent shall retain data generated for the above reports, including lab results and QA/QC data, for a minimum of six years after origination.
- 7. **SMP Revisions**: Revisions to the Self-Monitoring Program may be ordered by the Executive Officer, either on his/her own initiative or at the request of the discharger. Prior to making SMP revisions, the Executive Officer will consider the burden, including costs, of associated self-monitoring reports relative to the benefits to be obtained from these reports.

I, Loretta K. Barsamian, Executive Officer, hereby certify that this Self-Monitoring Program was adopted by the Board on November 15, 1995.

Loretta K. Barsamian
Executive Officer